

INVARIANT METRICS AND LAPLACIANS ON SIEGEL-JACOBI DISK

JAE-HYUN YANG

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbb{D}_n$ be the generalized unit disk of degree n . In this paper, we find Riemannian metrics on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$ which are invariant under the natural action of the Jacobi group explicitly and also compute the Laplacians of these invariant metrics explicitly. These are expressed in terms of the trace form. We give a brief remark on the theory of harmonic analysis on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$.

1. Introduction

For a given fixed positive integer n , we let

$$\mathbb{H}_n = \{ \Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{(n,n)} \mid \Omega = {}^t\Omega, \quad \text{Im } \Omega > 0 \}$$

be the Siegel upper half plane of degree n and let

$$Sp(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{ M \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n,2n)} \mid {}^tM J_n M = J_n \}$$

be the symplectic group of degree n , where

$$J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\mathbb{H}_n$ transitively by

$$(1.1) \quad M \cdot \Omega = (A\Omega + B)(C\Omega + D)^{-1},$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\Omega \in \mathbb{H}_n$.

For two positive integers n and m , we consider the Heisenberg group

$$H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)} = \{ (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \mid \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)}, \kappa + \mu {}^t\lambda \text{ symmetric} \}$$

endowed with the following multiplication law

$$(\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \circ (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') = (\lambda + \lambda', \mu + \mu'; \kappa + \kappa' + \lambda {}^t\mu' - \mu {}^t\lambda').$$

We define the semidirect product of $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$

$$G^J := Sp(n, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$$

endowed with the following multiplication law

$$\left(M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \right) \cdot \left(M', (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') \right) = \left(MM', (\tilde{\lambda} + \lambda', \tilde{\mu} + \mu'; \kappa + \kappa' + \tilde{\lambda} {}^t\mu' - \tilde{\mu} {}^t\lambda') \right)$$

Subject Classification: Primary 11Fxx, 32F45, 32M10

Keywords and phrases: Siegel-Jacobi disk, partial Cayley transform, invariant metrics, Laplacians

This work was supported by Inha University Research Grant.

with $M, M' \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, $(\lambda, \mu; \kappa), (\lambda', \mu'; \kappa') \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n, m)}$ and $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\mu}) = (\lambda, \mu)M'$. We call this group G^J the Jacobi group of degree n and index m . Then we get the *natural action* of G^J on $\mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m, n)}$ (cf. [1-2], [7-9], [14]) defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \left(M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \right) \cdot (\Omega, Z) = \left(M \cdot \Omega, (Z + \lambda\Omega + \mu)(C\Omega + D)^{-1} \right),$$

where $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, $(\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n, m)}$ and $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m, n)}$. We note that the action (1.2) is transitive.

For brevity, we write $\mathbb{H}_{n, m} := \mathbb{H}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m, n)}$. For a coordinate $(\Omega, Z) \in \mathbb{H}_{n, m}$ with $\Omega = (\omega_{\mu\nu}) \in \mathbb{H}_n$ and $Z = (z_{kl}) \in \mathbb{C}^{(m, n)}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= X + iY, & X &= (x_{\mu\nu}), & Y &= (y_{\mu\nu}) \text{ real}, \\ Z &= U + iV, & U &= (u_{kl}), & V &= (v_{kl}) \text{ real}, \\ d\Omega &= (d\omega_{\mu\nu}), & d\bar{\Omega} &= (d\bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu}), \\ dZ &= (dz_{kl}), & d\bar{Z} &= (d\bar{z}_{kl}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} &= \left(\frac{1 + \delta_{\mu\nu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{\mu\nu}} \right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} &= \left(\frac{1 + \delta_{\mu\nu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\omega}_{\mu\nu}} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{m1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{m1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{1n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta symbol.

C. L. Siegel [6] introduced the symplectic metric ds_n^2 on $\mathbb{H}_n$ invariant under the action (1.1) of $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$(1.3) \quad ds_n^2 = \sigma \left(Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} \right)$$

and H. Maass [4] proved that the differential operator

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta_n = 4 \sigma \left(Y^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right)$$

is the Laplacian of $\mathbb{H}_n$ for the symplectic metric ds_n^2 . Here $\sigma(A)$ denotes the trace of a square matrix A .

In [11], the author proved the following theorems.

Theorem A. *For any two positive real numbers A and B , the following metric*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} ds_{n, m; A, B}^2 &= A \sigma \left(Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} \right) \\ &+ B \left\{ \sigma \left(Y^{-1} {}^t V V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} \right) + \sigma \left(Y^{-1} {}^t (dZ) d\bar{Z} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sigma \left(V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} {}^t (d\bar{Z}) \right) - \sigma \left(V Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} Y^{-1} {}^t (dZ) \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ which is invariant under the action (1.2) of the Jacobi group G^J .

Theorem B. For any two positive real numbers A and B , the Laplacian $\Delta_{n,m;A,B}$ of $(\mathbb{H}_{n,m}, ds_{n,m;A,B}^2)$ is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,m;A,B} = & \frac{4}{A} \left\{ \sigma \left(Y^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) + \sigma \left(V Y^{-1} {}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) \right. \\ & + \sigma \left(V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) + \sigma \left({}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) \Big\} \\ & + \frac{4}{B} \sigma \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$G_* = SU(n, n) \cap Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$$

be the symplectic group and let

$$\mathbb{D}_n = \left\{ W \in \mathbb{C}^{(n,n)} \mid W = {}^t W, I_n - \bar{W}W > 0 \right\}$$

be the generalized unit disk. Then G_* acts on $\mathbb{D}_n$ transitively by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix} \cdot W = (PW + Q)(\bar{Q}W + \bar{P})^{-1},$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix} \in G_*$ and $W \in \mathbb{D}_n$. Using the Cayley transform of $\mathbb{D}_n$ onto $\mathbb{H}_n$, we can see (cf. [6]) that

$$(1.7) \quad ds_*^2 = 4 \sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right)$$

is a G_* -invariant Kähler metric on $\mathbb{D}_n$ and H. Maass [4] showed that its Laplacian is given by

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta_* = \sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right).$$

Let

$$G_*^J = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \mid \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix} \in G_*, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)} \right\}$$

be the Jacobi group with the following multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ \bar{Q}' & \bar{P}' \end{pmatrix}, (\xi', \bar{\xi}'; i\kappa') \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ \bar{Q}' & \bar{P}' \end{pmatrix}, (\tilde{\xi} + \xi', \tilde{\bar{\xi}} + \bar{\xi}'; i\kappa + i\kappa' + \tilde{\xi}^t \bar{\xi}' - \tilde{\xi}'^t \bar{\xi}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\xi} = \xi P' + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}'$ and $\tilde{\bar{\xi}} = \xi Q' + \bar{\xi} \bar{P}'$. Then we have the *canonical action* of G_*^J on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$ (see (2.6)) given by

$$(1.9) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \bar{Q} & \bar{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \cdot (W, \eta) = \left((PW + Q)(\bar{Q}W + \bar{P})^{-1}, (\eta + \xi W + \bar{\xi})(\bar{Q}W + \bar{P})^{-1} \right),$$

where $W \in \mathbb{D}_n$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$.

For brevity, we write $\mathbb{D}_{n,m} := \mathbb{D}_n \times \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$. For a coordinate $(W, \eta) \in \mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ with $W = (w_{\mu\nu}) \in \mathbb{D}_n$ and $\eta = (\eta_{kl}) \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} dW &= (dw_{\mu\nu}), & d\bar{W} &= (d\bar{w}_{\mu\nu}), \\ d\eta &= (d\eta_{kl}), & d\bar{\eta} &= (d\bar{\eta}_{kl}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial W} &= \left(\frac{1 + \delta_{\mu\nu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\mu\nu}} \right), & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} &= \left(\frac{1 + \delta_{\mu\nu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}_{\mu\nu}} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{m1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{1n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_{m1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_{1n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we find the G_*^J -invariant Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ and their Laplacians. In fact, we prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *For any two positive real numbers A and B , the following metric $d\tilde{s}_{n,m;A,B}^2$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}_{n,m;A,B}^2 &= 4A\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \\ &\quad + 4B\left\{\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)d\bar{\eta}\right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sigma\left((\eta\bar{W} - \bar{\eta})(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}{}^t(d\bar{\eta})\right) \\ &\quad + \sigma\left((\bar{\eta}W - \eta)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)\right) \\ &\quad - \sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\eta\eta(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}\bar{W}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \\ &\quad - \sigma\left(W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}{}^t\bar{\eta}\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \\ &\quad + \sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\eta\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \\ &\quad + \sigma\left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\bar{\eta}\eta\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \\ &\quad + \sigma\left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}{}^t\bar{\eta}\eta(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \quad \times (I_n - \bar{W})(I_n - W)^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\left.\right) \\ &\quad \left. - \sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\bar{\eta}\eta(I_n - W)^{-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. \left. \times dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ which is invariant under the action (1.9) of the Jacobi group G_*^J .

We note that if $n = m = 1$ and $A = B = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} d\tilde{s}_{1,1;1,1}^2 &= \frac{dW d\bar{W}}{(1 - |W|^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - |W|^2)} d\eta d\bar{\eta} \\ &+ \frac{(1 + |W|^2)|\eta|^2 - \bar{W}\eta^2 - W\bar{\eta}^2}{(1 - |W|^2)^3} dW d\bar{W} \\ &+ \frac{\eta\bar{W} - \bar{\eta}}{(1 - |W|^2)^2} dW d\bar{\eta} + \frac{\bar{\eta}W - \eta}{(1 - |W|^2)^2} d\bar{W} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. For any two positive real numbers A and B , the Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m;A,B}$ of $(\mathbb{D}_{n,m}, d\tilde{s}_{n,m;A,B}^2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{n,m;A,B} &= \frac{1}{A} \left\{ \sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \right. \\ &+ \sigma \left({}^t(\eta - \bar{\eta}W) {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \\ &+ \sigma \left((\bar{\eta} - \eta\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &- \sigma \left(\eta\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &- \sigma \left(\bar{\eta}W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &+ \sigma \left(\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\ &+ \sigma \left(\eta\bar{W}W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \Big\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{B} \sigma \left((I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We note that if $n = m = 1$ and $A = B = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Delta}_{1,1;1,1} &= (1 - |W|^2)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial W \partial \bar{W}} + (1 - |W|^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta \partial \bar{\eta}} \\ &+ (1 - |W|^2)(\eta - \bar{\eta}W) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial W \partial \bar{\eta}} + (1 - |W|^2)(\bar{\eta} - \eta\bar{W}) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{W} \partial \eta} \\ &- (\bar{W}\eta^2 + W\bar{\eta}^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta \partial \bar{\eta}} + (1 + |W|^2)|\eta|^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta \partial \bar{\eta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The main ingredients for the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are the partial Cayley transform, Theorem A and Theorem B. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the partial Cayley transform that was dealt with in [12]. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. In the final section we briefly remark the theory of harmonic analysis on the Siegel-Jacobi disk.

NOTATIONS: We denote by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the field of real numbers, and the field of complex numbers respectively. The symbol “=” means that the expression on the right is the definition of that on the left. For two positive integers k and l , $F^{(k,l)}$ denotes the set of all $k \times l$ matrices with entries in a commutative ring F . For a square matrix $A \in F^{(k,k)}$ of degree k , $\sigma(A)$ denotes the trace of A . For $\Omega \in \mathbb{H}_g$, $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$ (*resp.* $\operatorname{Im} \Omega$) denotes the real (*resp.* imaginary) part of Ω . For any $M \in F^{(k,l)}$, ${}^t M$ denotes the transpose matrix of M . For a matrix $A \in F^{(k,k)}$ and $B \in F^{(k,l)}$, we write $A[B] = {}^t B A B$. I_n denotes the identity matrix of degree n .

2. The partial Cayley transform

In this section, we review the partial Cayley transform [12] of $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ onto $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

We can identify an element $g = (M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa))$ of G^J , $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$ with the element

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B & A^t \mu - B^t \lambda \\ \lambda & I_m & \mu & \kappa \\ C & 0 & D & C^t \mu - D^t \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$$

of $Sp(m+n, \mathbb{R})$.

We set

$$T_* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I_{m+n} & I_{m+n} \\ iI_{m+n} & -iI_{m+n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now consider the group G_*^J defined by

$$G_*^J := T_*^{-1} G^J T_*.$$

If $g = (M, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa)) \in G^J$ with $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, then $T_*^{-1} g T_*$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad T_*^{-1} g T_* = \begin{pmatrix} P_* & Q_* \\ \overline{Q}_* & \overline{P}_* \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$P_* = \begin{pmatrix} P & \frac{1}{2} \{ Q^t (\lambda + i\mu) - P^t (\lambda - i\mu) \} \\ \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + i\mu) & I_h + i \frac{\kappa}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_* = \begin{pmatrix} Q & \frac{1}{2} \{ P^t (\lambda - i\mu) - Q^t (\lambda + i\mu) \} \\ \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - i\mu) & -i \frac{\kappa}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and P, Q are given by the formulas

$$(2.2) \quad P = \frac{1}{2} \{ (A + D) + i (B - C) \}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad Q = \frac{1}{2} \{ (A - D) - i (B + C) \}.$$

From now on, we write

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\mu), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - i\mu); -i\frac{\kappa}{2} \right) \right) := \left(\frac{P_*}{\overline{Q}_*} \quad \frac{Q_*}{\overline{P}_*} \right).$$

In other words, we have the relation

$$T_*^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \right) T_* = \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\mu), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - i\mu); -i\frac{\kappa}{2} \right) \right).$$

Let

$$H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n,m)} := \left\{ (\xi, \eta; \zeta) \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,m)}, \zeta + \eta^t \xi \text{ symmetric} \right\}$$

be the complex Heisenberg group endowed with the following multiplication

$$(\xi, \eta; \zeta) \circ (\xi', \eta'; \zeta') := (\xi + \xi', \eta + \eta'; \zeta + \zeta' + \xi^t \eta' - \eta^t \xi').$$

We define the semidirect product

$$SL(2n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n,m)}$$

endowed with the following multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \eta; \zeta) \right) \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ R' & S' \end{pmatrix}, (\xi', \eta'; \zeta') \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P' & Q' \\ R' & S' \end{pmatrix}, (\tilde{\xi} + \xi', \tilde{\eta} + \eta'; \zeta + \zeta' + \tilde{\xi}^t \eta' - \tilde{\eta}^t \xi') \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\xi} = \xi P' + \eta R'$ and $\tilde{\eta} = \xi Q' + \eta S'$.

If we identify $H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)}$ with the subgroup

$$\left\{ (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \mid \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)} \right\}$$

of $H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n,m)}$, we have the following inclusion

$$G_*^J \subset SU(n, n) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)} \subset SL(2n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n,m)}.$$

We define the mapping $\Theta : G_*^J \longrightarrow G_*^J$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \right) := \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\frac{1}{2}(\lambda + i\mu), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - i\mu); -i\frac{\kappa}{2} \right) \right),$$

where P and Q are given by (2.2) and (2.3). We can see that if $g_1, g_2 \in G_*^J$, then $\Theta(g_1 g_2) = \Theta(g_1) \Theta(g_2)$.

According to [10], p. 250, G_*^J is of the Harish-Chandra type (cf. [5], p. 118). Let

$$g_* = \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \right)$$

be an element of G_*^J . Since the Harish-Chandra decomposition of an element $\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$ in $SU(n, n)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & QS^{-1} \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P - QS^{-1}R & 0 \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ S^{-1}R & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

the P_*^+ -component of the following element

$$g_* \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_n & W \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, (0, \eta; 0) \right), \quad W \in \mathbb{D}_n$$

of $SL(2n, \mathbb{C}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{C}}^{(n,m)}$ is given by

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_n & (PW + Q)(\overline{Q}W + \overline{P})^{-1} \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, (0, (\eta + \lambda W + \mu)(\overline{Q}W + \overline{P})^{-1}; 0) \right).$$

We can identify $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ with the subset

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_n & W \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, (0, \eta; 0) \right) \mid W \in \mathbb{D}_n, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)} \right\}$$

of the complexification of G_*^J . Indeed, $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ is embedded into P_*^+ given by

$$P_*^+ = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_n & W \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, (0, \eta; 0) \right) \mid W = {}^t W \in \mathbb{C}^{(n,n)}, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)} \right\}.$$

This is a generalization of the Harish-Chandra embedding (cf. [5], p. 119). Then we get the *canonical transitive action* of G_*^J on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \cdot (W, \eta) = \left((PW + Q)(\overline{Q}W + \overline{P})^{-1}, (\eta + \xi W + \bar{\xi})(\overline{Q}W + \overline{P})^{-1} \right),$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix} \in G_*$, $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)}$ and $(W, \eta) \in \mathbb{D}_{n,m}$.

The author [12] proved that the action (1.2) of G^J on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ is compatible with the action (2.6) of G_*^J on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ through the *partial Cayley transform* $\Phi : \mathbb{D}_{n,m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \Phi(W, \eta) := \left(i(I_n + W)(I_n - W)^{-1}, 2i\eta(I_n - W)^{-1} \right).$$

In other words, if $g_0 \in G^J$ and $(W, \eta) \in \mathbb{D}_{n,m}$,

$$(2.8) \quad g_0 \cdot \Phi(W, \eta) = \Phi(g_* \cdot (W, \eta)),$$

where $g_* = T_*^{-1}g_0T_*$. Φ is a biholomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ onto $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ which gives the partially bounded realization of $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ by $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$. The inverse of Φ is

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Omega, Z) = \left((\Omega - iI_n)(\Omega + iI_n)^{-1}, Z(\Omega + iI_n)^{-1} \right).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $(W, \eta) \in \mathbb{D}_{n,m}$, we write

$$(\Omega, Z) := \Phi(W, \eta).$$

Thus

$$(3.1) \quad \Omega = i(I_n + W)(I_n - W)^{-1}, \quad Z = 2i\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}.$$

Since

$$d(I_n - W)^{-1} = (I_n - W)^{-1}dW(I_n - W)^{-1}$$

and

$$I_n + (I_n + W)(I_n - W)^{-1} = 2(I_n - W)^{-1},$$

we get the following formulas from (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad Y = \frac{1}{2i} (\Omega - \bar{\Omega}) = (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - W\bar{W}) (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1},$$

$$(3.3) \quad V = \frac{1}{2i} (Z - \bar{Z}) = \eta (I_n - W)^{-1} + \bar{\eta} (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1},$$

$$(3.4) \quad d\Omega = 2i (I_n - W)^{-1} dW (I_n - W)^{-1},$$

$$(3.5) \quad dZ = 2i \left\{ d\eta + \eta (I_n - W)^{-1} dW \right\} (I_n - W)^{-1}.$$

According to the formulas (3.2) and (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma \left(Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} \right) = 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right).$$

From the formulas (3.2)-(3.4), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma \left(Y^{-1} {}^t V V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\bar{\Omega} \right) = (a) + (b) + (c) + (d),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (a) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta \eta (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} (I_n - \bar{W}) (I_n - W)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right), \\ (b) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta \bar{\eta} (I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right), \\ (c) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} (I_n - W) (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta} \eta (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (I_n - \bar{W}) (I_n - W)^{-1} dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right), \\ (d) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} (I_n - W) (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta} \bar{\eta} (I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times dW (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W} \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the formulas (3.2) and (3.5), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma \left(Y^{-1} {}^t (dZ) d\bar{Z} \right) = (e) + (f) + (g) + (h),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (e) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t (d\eta) d\bar{\eta} \right), \\ (f) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW (I_n - W)^{-1} {}^t \eta d\bar{\eta} \right), \\ (g) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t (d\eta) \bar{\eta} (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} d\bar{W} \right), \\ (h) : &= 4\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW (I_n - W)^{-1} {}^t \eta \bar{\eta} (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} d\bar{W} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the formulas (3.2)-(3.5), we get

$$(3.9) \quad -\sigma \left(V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} {}^t (d\bar{Z}) \right) = (i) + (j) + (k) + (l),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) : &= -4\sigma\left(\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - \overline{W})(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}{}^t(d\overline{\eta})\right), \\
(j) : &= -4\sigma\left((I_n - \overline{W})^{-1}{}^t\overline{\eta}\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - \overline{W})(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}\right), \\
(k) : &= -4\sigma\left(\overline{\eta}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}{}^t(d\overline{\eta})\right), \\
(l) : &= -4\sigma\left((I_n - \overline{W})^{-1}{}^t\overline{\eta}\eta(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Conjugating the formula (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \quad -\sigma\left(VY^{-1}d\overline{\Omega}Y^{-1}{}^t(dZ)\right) = (m) + (n) + (o) + (p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(m) : &= -4\sigma\left(\overline{\eta}(I_n - \overline{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)\right), \\
(n) : &= -4\sigma\left((I_n - W)^{-1}{}^t\eta\overline{\eta}(I_n - \overline{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}\right. \\
&\quad \left.\times d\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW\right), \\
(o) : &= -4\sigma\left(\eta(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)\right), \\
(p) : &= -4\sigma\left((I_n - W)^{-1}{}^t\eta\eta(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (f), (i) and (k), we get

$$(3.11) \quad (f) + (i) + (k) = 4\sigma\left((\eta\overline{W} - \overline{\eta})(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}{}^t(d\overline{\eta})\right).$$

Indeed, transposing the matrix inside the formula (f), we can express the formula (f) as

$$(f) = 4\sigma\left(\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}dW(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}{}^t(d\overline{\eta})\right)$$

and adding the formulas (f) and (i) together with the formula (k), we get the formula (3.11) because

$$\begin{aligned}
&(I_n - W)^{-1} - (I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - \overline{W})(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\
&= (I_n - W)^{-1}\left\{(I_n - W\overline{W}) - (I_n - \overline{W})\right\}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\
&= (I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - W)\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\
&= \overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (g), (m) and (o), we get

$$(3.12) \quad (g) + (m) + (o) = 4\sigma\left((\overline{\eta}W - \eta)(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1}d\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)\right).$$

Indeed, we can express the formula (g) as

$$(g) = 4\sigma\left(\bar{\eta}(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}d\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t(d\eta)\right)$$

and adding the formulas (g) and (m) together with the formula (o), we get the formula (3.12) because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} - (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}\left\{(I_n - \bar{W}W) - (I_n - W)\right\}(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - \bar{W})W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \\ = & W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (a) and (p), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) + (p) &= -4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\eta\eta(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}\bar{W} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, transposing the matrix inside the formula (p), we can express the formula (p) as

$$(p) = -4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}{}^t\eta\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right)$$

and adding the formulas (a) and (p), we get the formula (3.13) because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}(I_n - \bar{W})(I_n - W)^{-1} - (I_n - W)^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}\left\{(I_n - \bar{W}) - (I_n - \bar{W}W)\right\}(I_n - W)^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}(-\bar{W})(I_n - W)(I_n - W)^{-1} \\ = & -(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}\bar{W}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the formulas (d) and (l), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (d) + (l) &= -4\sigma\left(W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}{}^t\bar{\eta}\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}d\bar{W}\right) \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} - (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}\left\{(I_n - W) - (I_n - \bar{W}W)\right\}(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - \bar{W})(-W)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \\ = & -W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding the formulas (h) and (j), we get

$$(3.15) \quad (h) + (j) = 4\sigma\left((I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} {}^t\overline{\eta}\eta \overline{W} (I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times dW (I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1} d\overline{W}\right).$$

Indeed, transposing the matrix inside the formula (h), we can express the formula (h) as

$$(h) = 4\sigma\left((I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} {}^t\overline{\eta}\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} dW (I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1} d\overline{W}\right)$$

and adding the formulas (h) and (j), we get the formula (3.15) because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - W)^{-1} - (I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - \overline{W})(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - W)^{-1}\left\{(I_n - W\overline{W}) - (I_n - \overline{W})\right\}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\ = & (I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - W)\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} \\ = & \overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Transposing the matrix inside the formula (n), we get

$$(3.16) \quad (n) = -4\sigma\left((I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} {}^t\overline{\eta}\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} \right. \\ \left. \times dW (I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1} d\overline{W}\right).$$

From the formulas (3.7)-(3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma\left(Y^{-1} {}^tV V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} d\overline{\Omega}\right) + \sigma\left(Y^{-1} {}^t(dZ) d\overline{Z}\right) \\ & - \sigma\left(V Y^{-1} d\Omega Y^{-1} {}^t(d\overline{Z})\right) - \sigma\left(V Y^{-1} d\overline{\Omega} Y^{-1} {}^t(dZ)\right) \\ = & (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) + \cdots + (m) + (n) + (o) + (p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t(d\eta) d\bar{\eta}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\sigma\left((\eta\bar{W} - \bar{\eta})(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t(d\bar{\eta})\right) \\
&\quad + 4\sigma\left((\bar{\eta}W - \eta)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t(d\eta)\right) \\
&\quad - 4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta\eta(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} \bar{W}dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right) \\
&\quad - 4\sigma\left(W(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta}\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta\bar{\eta}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\sigma\left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta}\eta\bar{W}(I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right) \\
&\quad + 4\sigma\left((I_n - \bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta}\eta(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1}\right. \\
&\quad \quad \left.\times (I_n - \bar{W})(I_n - W)^{-1} dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right) \\
&\quad - 4\sigma\left((I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1}(I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t\bar{\eta}\eta(I_n - W)^{-1}\right. \\
&\quad \quad \left.\times dW(I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} d\bar{W}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently the complete proof follows from the above formula, the formula (3.6), Theorem A and the fact that the action (1.2) of G^J on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ is compatible with the action (2.6) of G_*^J on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ through the partial Cayley transform. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

From the formulas (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} = \frac{1}{2i} (I_n - W) \left[{}^t \left\{ (I_n - W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right\} - {}^t \left\{ {}^t\eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \right\} \right]$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} = \frac{1}{2i} (I_n - W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}.$$

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.2. H. Maass [3] observed the following useful fact.

Lemma 4.1. (a) Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and B an $n \times l$ matrix. Assume that the entries of A commute with the entries of B . Then ${}^t(AB) = {}^tB {}^tA$.

(b) Let A , B and C be a $k \times l$, an $n \times m$ and an $m \times l$ matrix respectively. Assume that the entries of A commute with the entries of B . Then

$${}^t(A {}^t(BC)) = B {}^t(A {}^tC).$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the direct computation. $\square$

From the formulas (3.2), (4.1) and Lemma 4.1, we get the following formula

$$(4.3) \quad 4\sigma \left(Y^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) = (\alpha) + (\beta) + (\gamma) + (\delta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha) : &= \sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right), \\ (\beta) : &= -\sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - W\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\ (\gamma) : &= -\sigma \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right), \\ (\delta) : &= \sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - W\bar{W}) (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the formulas (3.2) and (4.2), we get

$$(4.4) \quad 4\sigma \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \right) = \sigma \left((I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) \right).$$

From the formulas (3.2), (3.3) and (4.2), we get

$$(4.5) \quad 4\sigma \left(VY^{-1} {}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) = (\epsilon) + (\zeta) + (\eta) + (\theta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon) : &= \sigma \left(\eta (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\ (\zeta) : &= \sigma \left(\bar{\eta} (I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\ (\eta) : &= \sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - \bar{W}) (I_n - W\bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\ (\theta) : &= \sigma \left(\bar{\eta} (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} (I_n - W) (I_n - \bar{W}W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas (3.2), (3.3), (4.1), (4.2) and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$(4.6) \quad 4\sigma \left(V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) = (\iota) + (\kappa) + (\lambda) + (\mu),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota) : &= \sigma \left(\bar{\eta}^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\
(\kappa) : &= \sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - \bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\
(\lambda) : &= -\sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\
(\mu) : &= -\sigma \left(\bar{\eta} (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the formulas (3.2), (3.3), (4.1), (4.2) and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$(4.7) \quad 4\sigma \left({}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) = (\nu) + (\xi) + (o) + (\pi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nu) : &= \sigma \left({}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right), \\
(\xi) : &= \sigma \left((I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right), \\
(o) : &= -\sigma \left(\eta (I_n - W)^{-1} {}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right), \\
(\pi) : &= -\sigma \left(\eta (I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the formulas (γ) , (ν) and (ξ) , we get

$$(4.8) \quad (\gamma) + (\nu) + (\xi) = \sigma \left(({}^t \eta - \bar{\eta}W)^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(I_n - W\bar{W})(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} + (I_n - W)(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} \\
&= -W(I_n - \bar{W})(I_n - \bar{W})^{-1} = -W.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the formulas (β) , (ι) and (κ) , we get

$$(4.9) \quad (\beta) + (\iota) + (\kappa) = \sigma \left((\bar{\eta} - \eta\bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W\bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - W\bar{W}) + (I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - \bar{W}) \\
&= -(I_n - W)^{-1}(I_n - W)\bar{W} = -\bar{W}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (η) and (o) , we get

$$(4.10) \quad (\eta) + (o) = -\sigma \left(\eta \overline{W} (I_n - W \overline{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (I_n - \overline{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - \overline{W}) (I_n - W \overline{W})^{-1} - (I_n - W)^{-1} \\ &= (I_n - W)^{-1} \left\{ I_n - \overline{W} - (I_n - W \overline{W}) \right\} (I_n - W \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - W) (-\overline{W}) (I_n - W \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= -\overline{W} (I_n - W \overline{W})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (θ) and (μ) , we get

$$(4.11) \quad (\theta) + (\mu) = -\sigma \left(\overline{\eta} W (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} {}^t \overline{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (I_n - \overline{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} (I_n - W) (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} - (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \left\{ I_n - W - (I_n - \overline{W} W) \right\} (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ &= (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} (I_n - \overline{W}) (-W) (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ &= -W (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we add the formulas (δ) , (ϵ) , (λ) and (π) , we get

$$(4.12) \quad (\delta) + (\epsilon) + (\lambda) + (\pi) = \sigma \left(\eta \overline{W} W (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} {}^t \overline{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) (I_n - \overline{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_n - W)^{-1} (I_n - W \overline{W}) (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} + (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ & \quad - (I_n - W)^{-1} - (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= (I_n - W)^{-1} \left\{ (I_n - W \overline{W}) - (I_n - \overline{W}) \right\} (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \\ & \quad + (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} - (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= \overline{W} (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} + (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} - (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} \\ &= -(I_n - \overline{W}) (I_n - \overline{W})^{-1} + (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ &= -I_n + (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ &= \left\{ -(I_n - \overline{W} W) + I_n \right\} (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1} \\ &= \overline{W} W (I_n - \overline{W} W)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the formulas (4.3) and (4.5)-(4.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \left(Y^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) + \sigma \left(V Y^{-1} {}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left(V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) + \sigma \left({}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) \\
& = (\alpha) + (\beta) + (\gamma) + (\delta) + \cdots + (\nu) + (\xi) + (o) + (\pi) \\
& = \sigma \left((I_n - W \bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W \bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left({}^t (\eta - \bar{\eta} W)^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left((\bar{\eta} - \eta \bar{W})^t \left((I_n - W \bar{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& - \sigma \left(\eta \bar{W} (I_n - W \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& - \sigma \left(\bar{\eta} W (I_n - \bar{W} W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left(\bar{\eta} (I_n - W \bar{W})^{-1} {}^t \eta^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left(\eta \bar{W} W (I_n - \bar{W} W)^{-1} {}^t \bar{\eta}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently the complete proof follows from the formula (4.4), the above formula, Theorem B and the fact that the action (1.2) of G^J on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ is compatible with the action (2.6) of G_*^J on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ through the partial Cayley transform. $\square$

Remark 4.1. We proved in [11] that the following two differential operators D and $L := \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{n,m;1,1} - D$ on $\mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ defined by

$$D = \sigma \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \sigma \left(Y^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right) + \sigma \left(V Y^{-1} {}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) \\
&+ \sigma \left(V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right) + \sigma \left({}^t V^t \left(Y \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{Z}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

are invariant under the action (1.2) of G^J . By the formula (4.4) and the proof of Theorem 1.2, we see that the following differential operators $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{L} := \tilde{\Delta}_{n,m;1,1}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ defined by

$$\tilde{D} = \sigma \left((I_n - \bar{W} W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}} \right) \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L} = & \sigma \left((I_n - W\overline{W})^t \left((I_n - W\overline{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left({}^t(\eta - \overline{\eta}W) {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \overline{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial W} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left((\overline{\eta} - \eta\overline{W})^t \left((I_n - W\overline{W}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{W}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& - \sigma \left(\eta\overline{W}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \overline{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& - \sigma \left(\overline{\eta}W(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\overline{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \overline{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left(\overline{\eta}(I_n - W\overline{W})^{-1} {}^t\eta {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \overline{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
& + \sigma \left(\eta\overline{W}W(I_n - \overline{W}W)^{-1} {}^t\overline{\eta} {}^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{\eta}} \right) (I_n - \overline{W}W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

are invariant under the action (2.6) of G_*^J . Indeed it is very complicated and difficult at this moment to express the generators of the algebra of all G_*^J -invariant differential operators on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ explicitly. We propose an open problem to find other explicit G_*^J -invariant differential operators on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$.

5. Remark on Harmonic Analysis on Siegel-Jacobi Disk

It might be interesting to develop the theory of harmonic analysis on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$. The theory of harmonic analysis on the generalized unit disk $\mathbb{D}_n$ can be done explicitly by the work of Harish-Chandra because $\mathbb{D}_n$ is a symmetric space. However the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ is not a symmetric space. The work for developing the theory of harmonic analysis on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ explicitly is complicated and difficult at this moment. We observe that this work on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ generalizes the work on the generalized unit disk $\mathbb{D}_n$.

More precisely, if we put $G_* = SU(n, n) \cap Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$, then the Jacobi group

$$G_*^J = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \overline{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \mid \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ \overline{Q} & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix} \in G_*, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{(m,n)}, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)} \right\}$$

acts on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ transitively via the transformation behavior (1.9). It is easily seen that the stabilizer K_*^J of the action (1.9) at the base point $(0, 0)$ is given by

$$K_*^J = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{P} \end{pmatrix}, (0, 0; i\kappa) \right) \mid P \in U(n), \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{(m,m)} \right\}.$$

Therefore G_*^J/K_*^J is biholomorphic to $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ via the correspondence

$$gK_*^J \mapsto g \cdot (0, 0), \quad g \in G_*^J.$$

We observe that the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ is not a reductive symmetric space.

We let

$$\Gamma_{n,m} := Sp(n, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(n,m)},$$

where $Sp(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Siegel modular group of degree n and

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{(n,m)} = \left\{ (\lambda, \mu; \kappa) \in H_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n,m)} \mid \lambda, \mu, \kappa \text{ are integral} \right\}.$$

We set

$$\Gamma_{n,m}^* := T_*^{-1} \Gamma_{n,m} T_*,$$

where T_* was already defined in Section 2. Clearly the arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma_{n,m}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ properly continuously. We can describe a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}^*$ for $\Gamma_{n,m}^* \backslash \mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ explicitly using the partial Cayley transform and a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}$ for $\Gamma_{n,m} \backslash \mathbb{H}_{n,m}$ which is described explicitly in [13]. The G_*^J -invariant metric $d\tilde{s}_{n,m;A,B}$ on $\mathbb{D}_{n,m}$ induces a metric on $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}^*$ naturally. It may be interesting to investigate the spectral theory of the Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}_{n,m;A,B}$ on a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{n,m}^*$. But this work is very complicated and difficult at this moment.

For instance, we consider the case $n = m = 1$ and $A = B = 1$. In this case

$$G_*^J = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ \bar{q} & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}, (\xi, \bar{\xi}; i\kappa) \right) \mid p, q, \xi \in \mathbb{C}, |p|^2 - |q|^2 = 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and

$$K_*^J = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{p} \end{pmatrix}, (0, 0; i\kappa) \right) \mid p \in \mathbb{C}, |p| = 1, \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

$d\tilde{s}_{1,1;1,1}$ is a G_*^J -invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{D}_{1,1} = \mathbb{D}_1 \times \mathbb{C}$ (cf. Theorem 1.1) and $\tilde{\Delta}_{1,1;1,1}$ is its Laplacian. It is well known that the theory of harmonic analysis on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}_1$ has been well developed explicitly (cf. [3], pp.29-72). I think that so far nobody has not investigated the theory of harmonic analysis on $\mathbb{D}_{1,1}$ explicitly. For example, inversion formula, Plancherel formula, Paley-Wiener theorem on $\mathbb{D}_{1,1}$ have not been described explicitly until now. It seems that it is interesting to develop the theory of harmonic analysis on the Siegel-Jacobi disk $\mathbb{D}_{1,1}$ explicitly.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Berndt and R. Schmidt, *Elements of the Representation Theory of the Jacobi Group*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [2] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, Progress in Math., 55, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel and Stuttgart, 1985.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press (1984).
- [4] H. Maass, *Die Differentialgleichungen in der Theorie der Siegelschen Modulformen*, Math. Ann. 126 (1953), 44–68.
- [5] I. Satake, *Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains*, Kano Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoton, Publishers and Princeton University Press (1980).
- [6] C. L. Siegel, *Symplectic Geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **65** (1943), 1-86; Academic Press, New York and London (1964); *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, no. 41, vol. II, Springer-Verlag (1966), 274-359.
- [7] J.-H. Yang, *The Siegel-Jacobi Operator*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 63 (1993), 135–146.
- [8] J.-H. Yang, *Singular Jacobi Forms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (6) (1995), 2041-2049.
- [9] J.-H. Yang, *Construction of vector valued modular forms from Jacobi forms*, Canadian J. of Math. **47** (6) (1995), 1329-1339.
- [10] J.-H. Yang, *The Method of Orbits for Real Lie Groups*, Kyungpook Math. J. **42** (2) (2002), 199-272.

- [11] J.-H. Yang, *Invariant metrics and Laplacians on Siegel-Jacobi space*, revised version (2006) or arXiv: math.NT/0507215 v1.
- [12] J.-H. Yang, *A partial Cayley transform for Siegel-Jacobi space*, arXiv:math.NT/0507216 v1.
- [13] J.-H. Yang, *A note on a fundamental domain for Siegel-Jacobi space*, arXiv:math.NT/0507218 or Houston Journal of Mathematics, Volume **32**, No. 3 (2006), 701–712.
- [14] C. Ziegler, *Jacobi Forms of Higher Degree*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg 59 (1989), 191–224.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INHA UNIVERSITY, INCHEON 402-751, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: `jhyang@inha.ac.kr`